

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia ONE North; East Anglia Two](#)
Subject: EN010077 + EN010078 Work No 33 land plot 104 A B C EA1 20023553 + EA2 20023554
Date: 13 January 2021 15:16:57
Attachments: [EN010077 + EN010078 Work No 33 land plot 104 A B C EA1 20023553 + EA2 20023554.docx](#)

To whom it may concern

EN010077 + EN010078^[L]_[SEP] Work No 33 land plot 104 A B C

13/01/2021

I am writing in response to the applicants "Expansion of Order Limits at work No 33 Land plots No 104,104A, 104B, 104C

This "Alternative plan" which the applicant may or may not use addresses one of the most important aspects of this site selection. That of flooding to Friston Village.

"The reduction or elimination of surface water"

Unless the applicant intends to be responsible for all the water that runs down this course which is sourced from well over 150 acres it is safe to assume that it will not eliminate surface water.

The village does not require the surface water to be removed anyway. The natural event of water draining through the valley and onwards has occurred for hundreds of years and is part of the Village experience.

The applicant is asking to take the right of the land including the verge which borders Friston House for 125m. The extent of works is not predetermined yet the impact on the land belonging to Friston House may easily be severe. The applicant has made no effort to inform or discuss this application with any of the affected properties.^[L]_[SEP] I should point out this track is the only access to Woodside farm buildings.

It should be noted that the intention of burying a pipe large enough and deep enough under this track with pre existing services already in place is extraordinary given that the existing water course running through Friston Village will be higher than the drains under the track.^[L]_[SEP] Whilst I appreciate the idea that water flow from the site will be managed by the applicant, I suggest to you that the applicant has absolutely no understanding how regularly this track floods, moreover, witnessing more extreme rainfall events, no amount of prearranged management will cope with the quantities of water involved.

Yet again the applicant is displaying an extraordinary disconnection with the reality of this site selection. If the applicant proceeds with this project the solutions that may be required to enable it will be extreme and probably unforeseen. The consequences of which will be experienced by the village of Friston.

I attach two photographs of the site in question taken on 27th Dec 2020. By no means an extraordinary rainfall event. I expect it will be flooded again in the next few days. These pictures show levels of water that have subsided.

Pic 1 Also shows the verge bordering Friston House 125m.

Nicola S Fulford

EA1 20023553 EA2 20023554



EN010077 + EN010078^[1]_{SEP} Work No 33 land plot 104 A B C

13/01/2021

I am writing in response to the applicants "Expansion of Order Limits at work No 33 Land plots No 104,104A, 104B, 104C

This "Alternative plan" which the applicant may or may not use addresses one of the most important aspects of this site selection. That of flooding to Friston Village.

"The reduction or elimination of surface water"

Unless the applicant intends to be responsible for all the water that runs down this course which is sourced from well over 150 acres it is safe to assume that it will not eliminate surface water.

The village does not require the surface water to be removed anyway. The natural event of water draining through the valley and onwards has occurred for hundreds of years and is part of the Village experience.

The applicant is asking to take the right of the land including the verge which borders Friston House for 125m. The extent of works is not predetermined yet the impact on the land belonging to Friston House may easily be severe. The applicant has made no effort to inform or discuss this application with any of the affected properties.^[1]_{SEP} I should point out this track is the only access to Woodside farm buildings.

It should be noted that the intention of burying a pipe large enough and deep enough under this track with pre existing services already in place is extraordinary given that the existing water course running through Friston Village will be higher than the drains under the track.^[1]_{SEP} Whilst I appreciate the idea that water flow from the site will be managed by the applicant, I suggest to you that the applicant has absolutely no understanding how regularly this track floods, moreover, witnessing more extreme rainfall events, no amount of prearranged management will cope with the quantities of water involved.

Yet again the applicant is displaying an extraordinary disconnection with the reality of this site selection. If the applicant proceeds with this project the solutions that may be required to enable it will be extreme and probably unforeseen. The consequences of which will be experienced by the village of Friston.

I attach two photographs of the site in question taken on 27th Dec 2020. By no means an extraordinary rainfall event. I expect it will be flooded again in the next few days. These pictures show levels of water that have subsided.

Pic 1 Also shows the verge bordering Friston House 125m.

Nicola S Fulford

EA1 20023553 EA2 20023554